



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1459  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,568	08/18/2003	Ludwig Schmidmaier	P69057US0	2981

7590

05/09/2005

Jacobson Holman  
Professional Limited Liability Company  
400 Seventh Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20004-2218

EXAMINER

SINGH, SUNIL

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3673

DATE MAILED: 05/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Interview Summary

Application No.

10/642,568

Applicant(s)

SCHMIDMAIER ET AL

Examiner

Sunil Singh

Art Unit

3673

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sunil Singh.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Linda Shapiro.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 04 May 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 8-10.Identification of prior art discussed: none.Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In office action mailed 4/25/05, the examiner incorrectly stated that claims 8-10 would be allowable if they were to overcome 112 2<sup>nd</sup> rejection; however, there were never any 112 2<sup>nd</sup> issues and claims 8-10 are merely objected to as being dependent on rejected claim(s) and would be allowable if rewritten to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

SUNIL SINGH  
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Sunil Singh  
Examiner's signature, if required